

①

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G)

W. P. NO. 3668 / 2005

PETITIONER

NON-APPLICANT NO. 2

VIJAY KUMAR BHATIA

S/o. Late Sugnamal Bhatia

Occupation – Business

Aged about 42 years

R/o. Sanjay Nagar, Sindhi

Colony, Chakradhar Nagar,

Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3773/05
Presented by Shri. Uttam Pandey
dated 3/8/05

Versus

RESPONDENTS

APPLICANT NO. 1

1. SURESH KUMAR JAGATRAMKA

S/o. Banwarilal Jagatramka

Aged about 51 years

Occupation – Business & Advocate

R/o. Gandhi Chowk, Raigarh (C.G.)

APPLICANT NO. 2

2. SANDEEP KR. JAGATRAMKA

S/o. Suresh Kumar Jagatramka

Aged about 20 years

R/o. Gandhi Chowk, Raigarh (C.G.)

NON-APPL. NO. 1

3. STATE OF CHHATISGARH

Through Collector,

Raigarh (Chhatishgarh) 496001

NON-APPL. NO. 3

4. MAHESH KR. JAGATRAMKA

S/o. Banwarilal Jagatramka

Aged about 57 years

129/C, Karl Marx Sarani,

Kolkata – 700 023.



RECEIVED - COPY

CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(2)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

40.P.3668/

सन् 2005

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश पत्रक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	10-8-2005 Mr. Vipin Punjabi, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Ravi Ranjan Sinha, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No.3. Heard both the counsel on admission. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India, being aggrieved by order dated 18-7-2005, passed by the District Judge, Raigarh, in the petition to transfer revision petition pending in the Court of 4th Additional Sessions Judge, Raigarh. The petitioner herein is non-applicant No.2 before the District Judge and he is duly represented. He has raised grievance in this writ petition that without effecting service on non-applicant No.3 (respondent No.4 herein) i.e. Mahesh Kumar Jagatramka, the transfer application is being heard. The petitioner herein is duly represented before the District Judge and he can raise objection before the District Judge in transfer application, in accordance with law. He has no locus standi in raising grievance about a third person, and to file this petition. Therefore, I do not find any substance in this writ petition, the same is liable to be dismissed, and it is accordingly, dismissed, at the admission stage itself. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3090/2005 and I.A.No.6925/2005 stand disposed of.	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)